Attachment C — Tribal Consultation

- September 9, 2002, Reclamation letter to the Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon
- September 18, 2002, Reclamation letter to the Confederated Tribes of the Siletz Indians
- September 18, 2002, Reclamation letter to the Cow Creek Band of the Umpqua Tribe of Indians
- September 20, 2002, Reclamation letter to the Klamath Tribes
- October 28, 2002, letter from The Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

Pacific Northwest Region 1150 North Curtis Road, Suite 100 Boise, Idaho 83706-1234

SEP 0 9 2002

PN-6511 PRJ-26.00

Ms. Connie Schultz
Cultural Protection Specialist
The Confederated Tribes of the
Grand Ronde Community of Oregon
9615 Grand Ronde Road
Grand Ronde OR 97347

Subject: Test Excavations, Tyler Creek Wasteway Access Road Right-of-Way

Dear Ms. Schultz:

The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) operates Green Springs Powerplant, located about 8 miles southeast of Ashland, Oregon. When the plant is not in operation, the water that would otherwise have gone through the powerplant is diverted into a channel referred to as the Tyler Creek Wasteway. Several years ago, Reclamation purchased an easement across private lands to use as an access route to Tyler Creek Wasteway. Subsequently, three archeological sites (35JA492, JA493, and JA494) were recorded within the access Right-Of-Way (ROW). In May 2001, Reclamation awarded a contract to Heritage Research Associates (HRA) to complete test excavations at those three sites to determine if they were eligible to the National Register of Historic Places. Potentially interested tribes were informed of the proposed testing during the review period for the state permit. As part of the permit review process, you informed HRA that the Grand Ronde were interested in remaining informed about the testing outcome.

With this letter we would like to bring you up to date on actions since May. With this letter we also request your comment, pursuant to 36 CFR 800.4, on the eligibility of the sites to the National Register of Historic Places (Register).

In June, 2002, HRA completed the test excavations, working under state permit AP-477. The testing methodology and results are described in the enclosed report entitled "Evaluation of Three Archaeological Sites in the Tyler Creek Wasteway Access Easement, Jackson County, Oregon." As the sites are on private land, the test excavations were confined to the ROW corridor, which is a 60-foot wide easement. All discussions about site eligibility address only the portion of each site lying within that easement ROW. All three of the sites extend beyond the ROW.

A Century of Water for the West 1902-2002 Concurrent with this letter, on September 5, 2002, Reclamation initiated consultations with the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) about the eligibility of the three sites to the Register. HRA has recommended that the portion of the three sites within the ROW be determined "not eligible" to the Register. Reclamation agrees with that assessment. The basis for that assessment is outlined below.

At site 35JA492, subsurface materials were largely confined to a very small area consistent with the surface artifact concentration, and all material was confined to the top 10 cm of soil. A projectile point mid-section was noted during survey, and was of a style commonly used during the last 2,200 years. No features were noted. Most of the cultural material found was unmodified flakes. The site appears to be a low-density surface artifact scatter with little potential to yield additional information.

Site 35JA493 is located on a small terrace, and may be the west edge of a larger site. Testing indicates that, at least within the ROW, the site is a rather sparse lithic scatter with most of the material confined to the surface and top 20 cm of soil. Only lithic debitage and two square nails were found. The site appears to have been plowed in the past. The site deposits within the ROW appear to have little potential to yield significant information that would increase our understanding of prehistoric life in the area or region. The two square nails do not appear to be associated with an identifiable early historic period feature within the ROW.

Site 35JA494 again appears to be a small section of what may be a larger site. Much is more cultural material, extending to a greater depth, was found at this site. However, again the material was essentially limited to unmodified lithic debitage; 236 flakes were recovered, one core, one biface fragment, and one animal bone fragment. There was no evidence of features, either prehistoric or historic period in origin. Also, the site appears to be rather disturbed within the ROW. Mottled soils were interpreted to mean that some leveling or soil redistribution had occurred at the site. This interpretation is supported by discovery of a glass fragment between 10 and 20 cm below surface and a button between 30 and 40 cm below surface. Although material density indicates that this site may have significant deposits outside of the ROW, it appears that deposits within the ROW have limited physical integrity and lack the kind and variety of materials that could provide significant new information about area history or prehistory.

For your information, Reclamation will make only limited modifications to make the ROW usable as an access route. Principally, we must place a culvert at the creek crossing and do some amount of bank cutting to allow passage across Schoolhouse Creek. This will occur within the ROW immediately south of 35JA493. Although the test excavations indicate the site is not eligible within the ROW, Reclamation will monitor initial soil excavation at that location to ensure immediate detection in the unlikely event of discovery of potentially significant subsurface deposits that were not revealed during test excavations. We do not anticipate any construction in the ROW across 35JA494, other than sinking several post holes to allow installation of a gate at the road. If any construction occurs in the vicinity of 35JA492 it is likely to be limited additional leveling of the existing old road track through that area. We will drive

on the access road only under "fair weather" conditions; our easement agreement prohibits motorized access when the ground is soft. Therefore, we anticipate that our use of the access will not cause further damage to the landscape or the resources on that land.

If you have questions, please call Lynne MacDonald at (208) 378-5316 or contact her via e-mail at lmacdonald@pn.usbr.gov. Written comments can be addressed to Ms. MacDonald at the address on the letterhead. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Kerry Whitford

Acting Manager, Ecosystems Analysis

15/ Kerry Whitford

Enclosure

bc: PN-3248 (Green) (w/o encl)

WBR:LMacDonald:ms:09-05-02:5316 h:\common\pn6500\lynne\Tyler tribal consult GR.wpd



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

Pacific Northwest Region 1150 North Curtis Road, Suite 100 Boise, Idaho 83706-1234

SEP 1 8 2002

N REPLY

PN-6511 PRJ-26.00

Mr. Robert Kenta Cultural Resources Manager The Confederated Tribes of the Siltez Indians PO Box 549 Siletz OR 97380

Subject: Test Excavations, Tyler Creek Wasteway Access Road Right-of-Way

Dear Mr. Kenta:

The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) operates Green Springs Powerplant, located about 8 miles southeast of Ashland, Oregon. When the plant is not in operation, the water that would otherwise have gone through the powerplant is diverted into a channel referred to as the Tyler Creek Wasteway. Several years ago, Reclamation purchased an easement across private lands to use as an access route to Tyler Creek Wasteway. Subsequently, three archeological sites (35JA492, JA493, and JA494) were recorded within the access Right-Of-Way (ROW). In May 2001, Reclamation awarded a contract to Heritage Research Associates (HRA) to complete test excavations at those three sites to determine if they were eligible to the National Register of Historic Places. Potentially interested tribes were informed of the proposed testing during the review period for the state permit. This included the Siltez Tribes.

With this letter we would like to bring you up to date on actions since May. With this letter we also invite you to comment, pursuant to 36 CFR 800.4, on the eligibility of the sites to the National Register of Historic Places (Register).

In June, 2002, HRA completed the test excavations, working under state permit AP-477. The testing methodology and results are described in the enclosed report entitled "Evaluation of Three Archaeological Sites in the Tyler Creek Wasteway Access Easement, Jackson County, Oregon." As the sites are on private land, the test excavations were confined to the ROW corridor, which is a 60-foot wide easement. All discussions about site eligibility address only the portion of each site lying within that easement ROW. All three of the sites extend beyond the ROW.

On September 9, 2002, Reclamation initiated consultations with the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) about the eligibility of the three sites to the Register. HRA has recommended that the portion of the three sites within the ROW be determined "not eligible" to the Register. Reclamation agrees with that assessment. The basis for that assessment is outlined below.

At site 35JA492, subsurface materials were largely confined to a very small area consistent with the surface artifact concentration, and all material was confined to the top 10 cm of soil. A projectile point mid-section was noted during survey, and was of a style commonly used during the last 2,200 years. No features were noted. Most of the cultural material found was unmodified flakes. The site appears to be a low-density surface artifact scatter with little potential to yield additional information.

Site 35JA493 is located on a small terrace, and may be the west edge of a larger site. Testing indicates that, at least within the ROW, the site is a rather sparse lithic scatter with most of the material confined to the surface and top 20 cm of soil. Only lithic debitage and two square nails were found. The site appears to have been plowed in the past. The site deposits within the ROW appear to have little potential to yield significant information that would increase our understanding of prehistoric life in the area or region. The two square nails do not appear to be associated with an identifiable early historic period feature within the ROW.

Site 35JA494 again appears to be a small section of what may be a larger site. Much more cultural material, extending to a greater depth, was found at this site. However, again the material was essentially limited to unmodified lithic debitage; 236 flakes were recovered, one core, one biface fragment, and one animal bone fragment. There was no evidence of features, either prehistoric or historic period in origin. Also, the site appears to be rather disturbed within the ROW. Mottled soils were interpreted to mean that some leveling or soil redistribution had occurred at the site. This interpretation is supported by discovery of a glass fragment between 10 and 20 cm below surface and a button between 30 and 40 cm below surface. Although material density indicates that this site may have significant deposits outside of the ROW, it appears that deposits within the ROW have limited physical integrity and lack the kind and variety of materials that could provide significant new information about area history or prehistory.

For your information, Reclamation will make only limited modifications to make the ROW usable as an access route. Principally, we must place a culvert at the creek crossing and do some amount of bank cutting to allow passage across Schoolhouse Creek. This will occur within the ROW immediately south of 35JA493. Although the test excavations indicate the site is not eligible within the ROW, Reclamation will monitor initial soil excavation at that location to ensure immediate detection in the unlikely event of discovery of potentially significant subsurface deposits that were not revealed during test excavations. We do not anticipate any construction in the ROW across 35JA494, other than sinking several post holes to allow installation of a gate at the road. If any construction occurs in the vicinity of 35JA492 it is likely to be limited additional leveling of the existing old road track through that area. We will drive on the access road only under "fair weather" conditions; our easement agreement prohibits

motorized access when the ground is soft. Therefore, we anticipate that our use of the access will not cause further damage to the landscape or the resources on that land.

If you have questions, please call Lynne MacDonald at (208) 378-5316 or contact her via e-mail at Imacdonald@pn.usbr.gov. Written comments can be addressed to Ms. MacDonald at the address on the letterhead. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Kerry Whitford Acting Manager, Ecosystems Analysis

Enclosure

bc: PN-3248 (Green) (w/o encl)

WBR:LMacDonald:ms:09-17-02:5316 h:\common\pn6500\lynne\Tyler tribal consult siltez.wpd returned 10/4 undelice sie

SEP 1 8 2002

PN-6511 PRJ-26.00

Ms. Sherri Shaffer Cultural Resource Manager Cow Creek Band of the Umpqua Tribe of Indians 2400 Stewart Parkway, Suite 300 Roseburg OR 97470

Subject: Test Excavations, Tyler Creek Wasteway Access Road Right-of-Way

Dear Ms. Shaffer:

The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) operates Green Springs Powerplant, located about 8 miles southeast of Ashland, Oregon. When the plant is not in operation, the water that would otherwise have gone through the powerplant is diverted into a channel referred to as the Tyler Creek Wasteway. Several years ago, Reclamation purchased an easement across private lands to use as an access route to Tyler Creek Wasteway. Subsequently, three archeological sites (35JA492, JA493, and JA494) were recorded within the access Right-Of-Way (ROW). In May 2001, Reclamation awarded a contract to Heritage Research Associates (HRA) to complete test excavations at those three sites to determine if they were eligible to the National Register of Historic Places. Potentially interested tribes were informed of the proposed testing during the review period for the state permit. The Cow Creek Band were contacted at that time.

With this letter we would like to bring you up to date on actions since May. With this letter we also invite you to comment, pursuant to 36 CFR 800.4, on the eligibility of the sites to the National Register of Historic Places (Register).

In June, 2002, HRA completed the test excavations, working under state permit AP-477. The testing methodology and results are described in the enclosed report entitled "Evaluation of Three Archaeological Sites in the Tyler Creek Wasteway Access Easement, Jackson County, Oregon." As the sites are on private land, the test excavations were confined to the ROW corridor, which is a 60-foot wide easement. All discussions about site eligibility address only the portion of each site lying within that easement ROW. All three of the sites extend beyond the ROW.

On September 9, 2002, Reclamation initiated consultations with the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) about the eligibility of the three sites to the Register. HRA has recommended

HRA has recommended that the portion of the three sites within the ROW be determined "not eligible" to the Register. Reclamation agrees with that assessment. The basis for that assessment is outlined below.

At site 35JA492, subsurface materials were largely confined to a very small area consistent with the surface artifact concentration, and all material was confined to the top 10 cm of soil. A projectile point mid-section was noted during survey, and was of a style commonly used during the last 2,200 years. No features were noted. Most of the cultural material found was unmodified flakes. The site appears to be a low-density surface artifact scatter with little potential to yield additional information.

Site 35JA493 is located on a small terrace, and may be the west edge of a larger site. Testing indicates that, at least within the ROW, the site is a rather sparse lithic scatter with most of the material confined to the surface and top 20 cm of soil. Only lithic debitage and two square nails were found. The site appears to have been plowed in the past. The site deposits within the ROW appear to have little potential to yield significant information that would increase our understanding of prehistoric life in the area or region. The two square nails do not appear to be associated with an identifiable early historic period feature within the ROW.

Site 35JA494 again appears to be a small section of what may be a larger site. Much more cultural material, extending to a greater depth, was found at this site. However, again the material was essentially limited to unmodified lithic debitage; 236 flakes were recovered, one core, one biface fragment, and one animal bone fragment. There was no evidence of features, either prehistoric or historic period in origin. Also, the site appears to be rather disturbed within the ROW. Mottled soils were interpreted to mean that some leveling or soil redistribution had occurred at the site. This interpretation is supported by discovery of a glass fragment between 10 and 20 cm below surface and a button between 30 and 40 cm below surface. Although material density indicates that this site may have significant deposits outside of the ROW, it appears that deposits within the ROW have limited physical integrity and lack the kind and variety of materials that could provide significant new information about area history or prehistory.

For your information, Reclamation will make only limited modifications to make the ROW usable as an access route. Principally, we must place a culvert at the creek crossing and do some amount of bank cutting to allow passage across Schoolhouse Creek. This will occur within the ROW immediately south of 35JA493. Although the test excavations indicate the site is not eligible within the ROW, Reclamation will monitor initial soil excavation at that location to ensure immediate detection in the unlikely event of discovery of potentially significant subsurface deposits that were not revealed during test excavations. We do not anticipate any construction in the ROW across 35JA494, other than sinking several post holes to allow installation of a gate at the road. If any construction occurs in the vicinity of 35JA492 it is likely to be limited additional leveling of the existing old road track through that area. We will drive on the access road only under "fair weather" conditions; our easement agreement prohibits motorized access when the ground is soft. Therefore, we anticipate that our use of the access will not cause further damage to the landscape or the resources on that land.

If you have questions, please call Lynne MacDonald at (208) 378-5316 or contact her via e-mail at lmacdonald@pn.usbr.gov. Written comments can be addressed to Ms. MacDonald at the address on the letterhead. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Kerry Whitford

Steve Dunn

Acting Manager, Ecosystems Analysis

Enclosure

bc: PN-3248 (Green) (w/o encl)

WBR:LMacDonald:ms:09-17-02:5316 h:\common\pn6500\lynne\Tyler tribal consult Cow Creek.wpd PN-6511 PRJ-26.00

Mr. Gerald Skelton Cultural Resource Protection Specialist The Klamath Tribes PO Box 436 Chiloquin OR 97624

Subject: Test Excavations, Tyler Creek Wasteway Access Road Right-of-Way

Dear Mr. Skelton:

The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) operates Green Springs Powerplant, located about 8 miles southeast of Ashland, Oregon. When the plant is not in operation, the water that would otherwise have gone through the powerplant is diverted into a channel referred to as the Tyler Creek Wasteway. Several years ago, Reclamation purchased an easement across private lands to use as an access route to Tyler Creek Wasteway. Subsequently, three archeological sites (35JA492, JA493, and JA494) were recorded within the access Right-Of-Way (ROW). In May 2001, Reclamation awarded a contract to Heritage Research Associates (HRA) to complete test excavations at those three sites to determine if they were eligible to the National Register of Historic Places. Potentially interested tribes were informed of the proposed testing during the review period for the state permit. The Klamath Tribes were contacted at that time.

With this letter we would like to bring you up to date on actions since May. With this letter we also invite you to comment, pursuant to 36 CFR 800.4, on the eligibility of the sites to the National Register of Historic Places (Register).

In June, 2002, HRA completed the test excavations, working under state permit AP-477. The testing methodology and results are described in the enclosed report entitled "Evaluation of Three Archaeological Sites in the Tyler Creek Wasteway Access Easement, Jackson County, Oregon." As the sites are on private land, the test excavations were confined to the ROW corridor, which is a 60-foot wide easement. All discussions about site eligibility address only the portion of each site lying within that easement ROW. All three of the sites extend beyond the ROW.

On September 9, 2002, Reclamation initiated consultations with the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) about the eligibility of the three sites to the Register. HRA has recommended

eligible" to the Register. Reclamation agrees with that assessment. The basis for that assessment is outlined below.

At site 35JA492, subsurface materials were largely confined to a very small area consistent with the surface artifact concentration, and all material was confined to the top 10 cm of soil. A projectile point mid-section was noted during survey, and was of a style commonly used during the last 2,200 years. No features were noted. Most of the cultural material found was unmodified flakes. The site appears to be a low-density surface artifact scatter with little potential to yield additional information.

Site 35JA493 is located on a small terrace, and may be the west edge of a larger site. Testing indicates that, at least within the ROW, the site is a rather sparse lithic scatter with most of the material confined to the surface and top 20 cm of soil. Only lithic debitage and two square nails were found. The site appears to have been plowed in the past. The site deposits within the ROW appear to have little potential to yield significant information that would increase our understanding of prehistoric life in the area or region. The two square nails do not appear to be associated with an identifiable early historic period feature within the ROW.

Site 35JA494 again appears to be a small section of what may be a larger site. Much more cultural material, extending to a greater depth, was found at this site. However, again the material was essentially limited to unmodified lithic debitage; 236 flakes were recovered, one core, one biface fragment, and one animal bone fragment. There was no evidence of features, either prehistoric or historic period in origin. Also, the site appears to be rather disturbed within the ROW. Mottled soils were interpreted to mean that some leveling or soil redistribution had occurred at the site. This interpretation is supported by discovery of a glass fragment between 10 and 20 cm below surface and a button between 30 and 40 cm below surface. Although material density indicates that this site may have significant deposits outside of the ROW, it appears that deposits within the ROW have limited physical integrity and lack the kind and variety of materials that could provide significant new information about area history or prehistory.

For your information, Reclamation will make only limited modifications to make the ROW usable as an access route. Principally, we must place a culvert at the creek crossing and do some amount of bank cutting to allow passage across Schoolhouse Creek. This will occur within the ROW immediately south of 35JA493. Although the test excavations indicate the site is not eligible within the ROW, Reclamation will monitor initial soil excavation at that location to ensure immediate detection in the unlikely event of discovery of potentially significant subsurface deposits that were not revealed during test excavations. We do not anticipate any construction in the ROW across 35JA494, other than sinking several post holes to allow installation of a gate at the road. If any construction occurs in the vicinity of 35JA492 it is likely to be limited additional leveling of the existing old road track through that area. We will drive on the access road only under "fair weather" conditions; our easement agreement prohibits motorized access when the ground is soft. Therefore, we anticipate that our use of the access will not cause further damage to the landscape or the resources on that land.

If you have questions, please call Lynne MacDonald at (208) 378-5316 or contact her via e-mail at Imacdonald@pn.usbr.gov. Written comments can be addressed to Ms. MacDonald at the address on the letterhead. Thank you for your assistance.

Kerry Whitford
Acting Manager Acting Manager, Ecosystems Analysis

Enclosure

bc: PN-3248 (Green) (w/o encl)

WBR:LMacDonald:ms:09-17-02:5316 h:\common\pn6500\lynne\Tyler tribal consult Klamath.wpd The Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon

Cultural Resources (503) 879-5211 • FAX (503) 879-2263 1-800-422-0232

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

9615 Grand Ronde Roa rand Ronde, Oregon 9734

Umpqua • Molalla • Rogue River • Kalapuya • Chaqtan 12 1

October 28, 2002

Lynne MacDonald Bureau of Reclamation Pacific Northwest Region 1150 North Curtis Road, Suite 100 Boise, ID 83706-1234

INIT	DATE
UM	10/3/
	7.4
L#: 0 -	-6427
	LM.

RE: Test Excavations, of Tyler Creek Wasteway Access Road Right-of-Way

Dear Ms. MacDonald:

The Cultural Resource Department has reviewed the report from Heritage Research Associates, No. 258, for the Tyler Creek Wasteway Access Road Right-of-Way.

It is noted that all three of the ROW sites are not recommended eligible for the NRHP due to the low density of artifacts, and previous soil disturbance. However, the Tribe considers these sites culturally significant, with a high possibility of an inadvertent discovery during any ground-disturbance.

The report from Heritage Research Associates does not indicate Tribal consultation in the event of an inadvertent discovery. However, should the project require any changes, or ground-disturbing activity not previously surveyed, or inadvertently discover cultural resources, the Tribe will request immediate notification. At such a time, the Tribe will reevaluate for inclusion in the NRHP.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 1-800-422-0232, ext. 2185.

Respectfully,

Connie Schultz,

Cultural Protection Specialist